

Panel discussion

Moderator: **Bregt Lameris** (Open Universiteit)

Panelists: **Catrien Bijleveld** (Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam)
Zeila Zanolli (Universiteit
Utrecht)

Wim Pouw (Tilburg University)
Markus Stauff (Universiteit van



sterdam)



**We
resume
at 1 pm!**

Lunch break





strengthening
diamond open access
in the netherlands

Reflection time

What have we heard from researchers this morning?





Challenges

1. Diamond OA options (e.g., journals) are not known by researchers
2. Journal editor: sustainability of Diamond OA, economically hard to have a scholarly-led journal due to the **workload** (exploitation)
3. **Unevenness and inequality** of publication culture (depending on country)
4. **Lack of awareness/critical analysis** of publishing culture (opportunism in publication choices)
5. **Funding** reserved for Diamond OA at the institutional level
6. Perceived reputation is just good **branding**
7. **Limited budget** at the library/institution level
8. **Discussion on LLMs**





Needs 1

- More visibility of Diamond OA options
- Need for proper **understanding of publication culture**
- Consider **interdisciplinarity** in the toolkit
- **Collective actions**: early career researchers shouldn't have the burden
- senior established researchers should pave the way
- Better **open infrastructures** ("publisher quality" and Ux design)
- Big organizations can invest and launch Diamond OA journals
- Communication targeting reviews for Diamond OA journals





Needs 2

- Make distinction between **quality** and **branding**
- **Secretarial support** for Diamond OA journals (495 euros estimated cost per article in Diamond OA)
- **Publication processes awareness:** researchers feel like they are ripped off
- Institutional support from libraries
- **Reserve budget** at NWO/ERC to Diamond OA - creates inequality for researchers that are not funded
- Collective decision for both University boards and funders: **prioritize where to invest**
- **Recognition and reward** / research assessment connected to Diamond OA, incentives for Diamond OA, yearly talks / intrinsic recognition within research community





Needs 3

- “**Scholarly-led**” / “**Academic-owned**” as a badge of quality: make this more visible
- **Quality = transparency**: quality of referees and to the point; **open peer review**, with reports published along with the paper.
- **Brand** Diamond OA more, e.g., during jaargesprek, in repositories, Diamond OA as much as a criterion as others. “**Badge**” for academic conversations/evaluations (see **R&R**) ! Keep in mind that this should not be the way forward
- Give space to the initial spirit as publication as **scientific discussion and debate**
- **Quality** = branding, infrastructure, user interface, **open source infrastructure development**





Needs 4

- **Books:** commercial but non-exploitative (academic or not) publishers; small commercial publishers who do the work.
- Importance of the **physical object** “book” > printed books are still important education-wise, also for Diamond OA digital-only publications (print-on-demand option should be there).
- Discussions on the future of Open Access Books and science communication in general: “**non-traditional**” **publication outputs** (both in commercial platforms and not) / open source platforms





Needs 5

- Overcome the monopoly of “quality” control
- Change the reward system: quality over quantity / R&R: Diamond OA as “**slow science**”
- Publish only very good articles in Diamond OA as an impact strategy
- Internationally tuned to protect moving of early-career researchers
- Target senior researchers with the toolkit

